# Quantifying the uncertainty in global geomagnetic models



- Global magnetic field reference models
  - WMM, IGRF & High Definition Geomagnetic Model
- Simple update of Williamson's magnetic error values
  - Breakdown by main, crustal and disturbance fields
  - Adjustments for non-Gaussian error distribution
- Detailed error analysis
  - Identify the primary factors contributing to the magnetic error
  - $\rightarrow$  Accurate error model taking all relevant factors into account



Stefan Maus and Manoj Nair (NOAA/NGDC) Shola Okewunmi (Chevron)



Florence, Sep-23 2010



### Geomagnetic reference models

World Magnetic Model (WMM)

 Government/Defense standard model with guaranteed technical/software support





British Geological Survey



International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF)

- Research Community model
- Includes retrospective updates back to 1900



The International Association of Geomagnetism and Aeronomy International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics



NGDC plays a key role in producing, distributing and providing support for both the WMM and the IGRF

### Earth Magnetic Anomaly Grid (EMAG2)

2-arc minute (4 km) resolution, 4km above ellipsoid

Compiled from airborne and marine and satellite magnetic measurements



#### High Definition Geomagnetic Model annually updated version of Enhanced Magnetic Model (EMM)

#### The HDGM model includes:

- Main field
- Secular variation
- Crustal field to degree 720
- Stable external field
- Error model

Annual model updates available each December 1<sup>st</sup> to members of a new University of Colorado Geomagnetism Research Consortium

For further details see: http://geomag.org/consortium



Error tables for IGRF and HDGM

Intention of this study:

Provide error estimates for IGRF and HDGM corresponding to BGGM error tables (Macmillan, McKay and Grindrod, SPE/IADC 119851)

Original plan: Reproduce BCCM tables for ICRF & HDGM

New plan is to provide 2 options: 1.<u>Simple:</u> values to plug into the ISCWSA-2000 error model 2.<u>Accurate:</u> Breakdown by the primary error factors

Methodology partly borrowed from *Macmillan et al.* study

### <u>Simple</u> $1\sigma$ error table for Williamson 2000

		Total field	Dip	Declination
	Williamson 2000	130 nT	0.20°	0.36° + 5000°nT/B <sub>H</sub>
Th				
is stu				
ypr				
	Total (√Σe <sup>2</sup> ) IGRF	141 nT 114 nT	0.24° 0.21°	0° + 6280 °nT/B <sub>H</sub> 0° + 5080 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>

### <u>Simple</u> $1\sigma$ error table

			Total field	Dip	Declination
	Williamson 2000		130 nT	0.20°	0.36° + 5000°nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	Main field	IGRF HDGM	34 nT 11 nT	0.05° 0.02°	1430 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub> 440 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub>
Thi	Crustal field	IGRF HDGM	131 nT 106 nT	0.19° 0.15°	5830 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub> 4730 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub>
s study	Steady extern	IGRF HDGM	10 nT 2 nT	0.03° 0.01°	400 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub> 100 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub>
~	Disturbance fiel	d	40 nT	0.14°	<b>1800 ºnT/</b> B <sub>ዘ</sub>
	Total (√Σe²) IGRF	HDGM	141 nT 114 nT	0.24° 0.21°	0° + 6280 °nT/B <sub>H</sub> 0° + 5080 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>

### Simple error table for 99.7 percentile

		Total field	Dip	Declination
	Williamson 2000 $(3\sigma)$	390 nT	0.60°	1.08° + 15000°nT/B <sub>H</sub>
Thi				
s stu				
ıdy				
	Total (√Σe <sup>2</sup> ) IGRF	670 nT 550 nT	1.33° 1.20°	0° + 29 400 °nT/B <sub>H</sub> 0° + 24 200 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>

### Simple 99.7 percentile error table

			Total field	Dip	Declination
	Williamson 200	0 (3σ)	390 nT	0.60°	1.08° + 15000°nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	Main field	IGRF HDGM	172 nT 46 nT	0.26° 0.08°	7 160 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub> 1 820 °nT/B <sub>ዘ</sub>
Thi	Crustal field	IGRF HDGM	590 nT 477 nT	0.86° 0.68°	26 200 °nT/B <sub>H</sub> 21 300 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
s study	Steady extern	IGRF HDGM	10 nT 2 nT	0.03° 0.01°	400 °nT/B <sub>H</sub> 100 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	Disturbance fiel	d	270 nT	0.98°	11 300 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	Total (√Σe²)* IGRF	HDGM	670 nT 550 nT	1.33° 1.20°	0° + 29 400 °nT/B <sub>H</sub> 0° + 24 200 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>

#### \*Only approximately valid for non-Gaussian errors

#### Main field: 99.7% uncertainty in total field



 Past field known accurately, difficult to predict future
 → Models are accurate on their release date and deteriorate subsequently

#### Earth's Internal magnetic field degree variance



<B<sup>2</sup>> of the magnetic field vector B

#### Crustal magnetic field omission error

	Model	Degree	Resolution	В	Dip	Declination
	IGRF	13	1500 km	131 nT	0.19°	5830 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	Satellite	133	150 km	126 nT	0.18°	5580 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
or	HDGM	720	28 km	97 nT	0.14°	4300 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
iission err	Geomagnetic	referencir	ng requiring sp	oecial proc	essing:	
Omi	EMAG2		4 km	41 nT	0.06°	1840 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	Aeromag survey		1 km	22 nT	0.03°	960 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>

### Crustal magnetic field error (1 $\sigma$ )

	Model	Degree	Resolution	В	Dip	Declination
u	IGRF	13	1500 km	131 nT	0.19°	5830 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
nissio	Satellite	133	150 km	126 nT	0.18°	5580 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
ō	HDGM	720	28 km	97 nT	0.14°	4300 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	HDGM accou	ints for rou	ghly 75% of c	rustal pow	er to deg	ree 720:
Total error	HDGM	720	28 km	106 nT	0.15°	4730 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
	Geomagnetic	referencir	ng requiring sp	oecial proc	essing:	
sion or	EMAG2		4 km	41 nT	0.06°	1840 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>
Omiss erro	Aeromag survey		1 km	22 nT	0.03°	960 °nT/B <sub>H</sub>

## Crustal error distribution standardized to $\sigma$ =1 from Earth Magnetic Anomaly Grid EMAG2



## Crustal error distribution standardized to $\sigma$ =1 logarithmic scale



	<mark>68</mark> .	.3%	95.	.4%	99.	7%	
Normal	1	σ	2σ		3	3σ	
	σ	Offset	σ	Offset	σ	Offset	
Crustal B	0.66	-34%	1.92	-4%	4.54	51%	

### Disturbance field contribution estimated using magnetic observatory minute values



#### http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov/spidr

Data from 1995 to 2006, covering one full solar cycle

#### Disturbance field error distribution



## Disturbance field error distribution logarithmic scale



	<mark>68</mark> .	.3%	95.	4%	99.	7%
	σ	Offset	σ	Offset	σ	Offset
В	0.4	-60%	1.96	-2%	6.68	113%
Dip	0.4	-60%	1.74	-13%	7.04	135%
Declination	0.56	-44%	1.82	-9%	6.28	110%

#### Disturbance field variation in total field (B) by corrected geomagnetic latitude



#### Disturbance field variation in total field (B)



#### Disturbance field variation in total field (B)



#### Disturbance field variation in declination



#### Disturbance field variation in declination x B<sub>H</sub>



#### Disturbance field variation in declination x B<sub>H</sub>



#### Histogram of magnetic activity (Kp index)



## Error distributions standardized to $\sigma$ =1 by magnetic latitude (CGM) and activity (Kp)



#### Prudhoe Bay example (148.7 W, 70.3N) Declination error (2σ)

	Survey #	Main field error	Crustal field error	Disturbance field error	Total error	Updated Willmsn (simple)
	1					
st	40					
Ра	80					
	120					
Today	150					
Tomorrow	160					
Future	180+					

### Prudhoe Bay example (148.7 W, 70.3N) Declination error (2σ)

#### Using simple error model:

Magnetic horizontal strength (B<sub>H</sub>):19 100 nTMagnetic reference model:HDGM

	Survey #	Main field error	Crustal field error	Disturbance field error (simple)	Total error (accurate)	Updated Willmsn (simple)
ist	1	0.04°	0.50°	0.19°		0.53°
	40	0.04°	0.50°	0.19°		0.53°
Ра	80	0.04°	0.50°	0.19°		0.53°
	Survey #           1           40           80           120           ay         150           160           ire         180+	0.04°	0.50°	0.19°		0.53°
Today	150	0.04°	0.50°	0.19°		0.53°
Tomorrow	160	0.04°	0.50°	0.19°		0.53°
Future	180+	0.04°	0.50°	0.19°		0.53°

## Prudhoe Bay example (148.7 W, 70.3N ) Declination error ( $2\sigma$ )

#### Using accurate error model:

Corrected geomagnetic latitude (CGM):70.4°Magnetic horizontal strength (B<sub>H</sub>):19 100 nTMagnetic vertical strength (B<sub>Z</sub>):50 000 nTMagnetic reference model:HDGM

	Survey #	Main field error	Crustal field error	Disturbance field error (accurate)	Total error (accurate)	Updated Willmsn (simple)
st	1	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
	40	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
Ра	80	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
	120	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
Today	150	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
Tomorrow	160	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
Future	180+	0.04°	0.50°	0.34°	0.61°	0.53°

## Prudhoe Bay example (148.7 W, 70.3N ) Declination error ( $2\sigma$ )

#### Using accurate error model:

Corrected geomagnetic latitude (CGM):70.4°Magnetic horizontal strength (B<sub>H</sub>):19 100 nTMagnetic vertical strength (B<sub>Z</sub>):50 000 nTMagnetic reference model:HDGM

	Survey #	Main field error	Crustal field error	Disturbance field error (accurate)	Total error (accurate)	Updated Willmsn (simple)
Past	1	0.04°	0.50°	0.12° (Kp=0)	0.52°	0.53°
	40	0.04°	0.50°	0.26° (Kp=2)	0.56°	0.53°
	80	0.04°	0.50°	2.04° (Kp=9)	2.10°	0.53°
	120	0.04°	0.50°	0.80° (Kp=6)	0.94°	0.53°
Today	150	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
Tomorrow	160	0.04°	0.50°			0.53°
Future	180+	0.04°	0.50°	0.34°	0.61°	0.53°

## Prudhoe Bay example (148.7 W, 70.3N ) Declination error ( $2\sigma$ )

#### Using accurate error model:

Corrected geomagnetic latitude (CGM):70.4°Magnetic horizontal strength (B<sub>H</sub>):19 100 nTMagnetic vertical strength (B<sub>Z</sub>):50 000 nTMagnetic reference model:HDGM

	Survey #	Main field error	Crustal field error	Disturbance field error (accurate)	Total error (accurate)	Updated Willmsn (simple)
Past	1	0.04°	0.50°	0.12° (Kp=0)	0.52°	0.53°
	40	0.04°	0.50°	0.26° (Kp=2)	0.56°	0.53°
	80	0.04°	0.50°	2.04° (Kp=9)	2.10°	0.53°
	120	0.04°	0.50°	0.80° (Kp=6)	0.94°	0.53°
Today	150	0.04°	0.50°	0.18° (Kp=1)	0.53°	0.53°
Tomorrow	160	0.04°	0.50°	0.26° (Kp<2)	0.56°	0.53°
Future	180+	0.04°	0.50°	0.34°	0.61°	0.53°

### Summary

#### Simple error tables for IGRF and HDGM

- Compatible with the ISCWSA-2000 error model
- Breakdown by Main, Crustal and Disturbance field
- Percentiles accounting for non-Gaussian distribution

Accurate error model accounting for further factors

- Main field: time since last model update (for IGRF!)
- Crustal field: degree of the model
- Disturbance field: Magnetic latitude and activity (Kp)

Acknowledgement of satellite and observatory data providers Presentation available at: http://geomag.org/ISCWSA





Florence, Sep-23 2010



#### What is displayed in a crustal magnetic anomaly map?



→ Knowing the main field and the crustal anomaly does not provide the direction and dip of the field. This requires further special processing (In-Field Referencing).

## Error distributions standardized to $\sigma$ =1 by magnetic latitude (CGM) and activity (Kp)



## Error distributions standardized to $\sigma$ =1 by magnetic latitude (CGM) and activity (Kp)



### Prudhoe Bay example (148.7 W, 70.3N) Declination error (99.7%)

#### Using accurate error model:

Corrected geomagnetic latitude (CGM): $70.4^{\circ}$ Magnetic horizontal strength (B<sub>H</sub>):19 100 nTMagnetic vertical strength (B<sub>Z</sub>):50 000 nTMagnetic reference model:HDGM

	Survey #	Main field error	Crustal field error	Disturbance field error (accurate)	Total error (accurate)	Updated Willmsn (simple)
Past	1	0.10°	1.12°	0.27° (Kp=0)	1.16°	1.27°
	40	0.10°	1.12°	0.55° (Kp=2)	1.25°	1.27°
	80	0.10°	1.12°	4.41° (Kp=9)	4.55°	1.27°
	120	0.10°	1.12°	1.74° (Kp=6)	2.07°	1.27°
Today	150	0.10°	1.12°	0.39° (Kp=1)	1.19°	1.27°
Tomorrow	160	0.10°	1.12°	0.55° (Kp<2)	1.25°	1.27°
Future	180+	0.10°	1.12°	0.91°	1.45°	1.27°

#### Main field: 99.7% error in total field against BGGM 2010

