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Passive Magnetic Ranging

- MWD detects interference from the adjacent well
- While Drilling logging allows one to identify precise interval over which to acquire ranging data
- Can be transmitted in both sliding and rotary drilling modes
- Useful especially in presence of pipe breaks

While Drilling BTotal & Bz log
Active Ranging While Drilling

Surface Injection - Patent # 9938773, Moss et al.

- Current injected on surface travels down the pipe
- The current has associated with it a magnetic field
- The MWD sensor can detect the magnetic field while drilling
- A distance and direction can be computed
- Motor highside to target is known at an instant in time
  - Referenced to gravity HS, Magnetic TF and GYRO TF
Wireline Active Ranging

What is it?

- Wireline deployed in the drilling well
- Current injection and detection in one assembly
- Array of onboard sensors to detect:
  - Magnetic Field
  - Gravity field
  - Earth spin rate
- Distance and direction from the open hole to the target well

Specifications

- Detection up to 200’ away
- Direction to target +/- 3°
- Distance to target +/- 10% when in gradient range
Project Details - Field History

- Developed field with high congestion – 1000s of wells
- Fluid injection over many decades has caused shifts in formations causing casing damage
- Historically, additional casing and tubing strings used to get more production. Workover tools and cementing also used to abandon wells
- Preference is to re-enter the target to abandon
- Intercept and abandonment work highly specialized and historically completed by dedicated/experienced/small group of experts
Project Challenges

• Casing damage
  – Breaks lead to electrical discontinuity
• Parasite wells
  – Active ranging signal possible from multiple wells
• Low angle target means corrupt magnetic azimuth to target and no HSTFtTG
  – Gyro corrections required
• Shallow intercept depts (800-1000’ MD/TVD)
• Poor/no surveys, high target well uncertainty
• High precision required for re-entry
• Shallow intersect depth means fast trips and challenging pace. Little room to make corrections
Methodology

• Complex abandonments are an engineering problem that can be SOLVED
• First and foremost, follow industry best practices (ISCWSA)
• Use a combination of methods to address the problem, some new, some old:

  **Industry Standard Methods**
  - GWD
  - EMMWD
  - Active and Passive WL Ranging

  **New Methods**
  - Active ranging while drilling oriented with a gyro
  - Active WL ranging oriented with a gyro
  - Active ranging logging
P&A Well Phases

• Workgroups on location include
  – Directional and MWD
  – Ranging Engineers
  – Well Intercept Specialists

• Remote Operations
  – Project Management
  – Well Intercept Specialists
  – Well-Planning
  – Engineering Team
Ranging Process Flow

1. Run in wireline ranging tools
2. Perform log on target well
3. As data is gathered, it is sent to cloud for analysis and report generated by Real-Time Operations Center
   • Advanced ranging analysis completed as the raw data is collected
4. New wellplan generated and next drilling interval prescribed before ranging tools are laid down
   • No NPT while waiting on a new wellplan
   • Often 3 drilling/ranging intervals completed per day
A Combination of Techniques

Ranging While Drilling to identify and monitor relative position during top hole section

Active Wireline and Passive to follow, intersect and mill the target
Technical Challenges – Casing Breaks

Casing Break at 510ft – shows in active and passive log data.
Challenges of Referencing the Target in Vertical Hole

HSTF=?
AzTF=HST
AztTG = 😞
Challenges of Referencing the Target in Vertical Hole

Gyro Az = North 😞
Direction to target = 180 ° from HS (HS is also noisy)

Assume Ranging tool Az = North (Wrong)
Ranging tool AzTF = Hot
Direction to target = South 😱
Challenges of Referencing the Target in Vertical Hole

GyroTF=N, Direction to target =0° from Gyro key = North 😎

GyroTF=S, Direction to target =180° from Gyro key = North 😎
Challenges of Referencing the Target in Vertical Hole

- North-Seeking Gyro integrated into wireline ranging assembly
- Simultaneous Gyro Referencing – Accurately measure relative position referenced to True North
- Fully functioning survey tool – can record continuous gyro log surveys using the one wireline assembly
- Data quality increased particularly at low inclination due to known toolface direction when acquiring active data
- Ranging while vertical < 1° inclination has been proven
“Parasite” Wells and Associated Technical Considerations
“Parasite” Wells – The Problem
“Parasite” Wells – A Cure
Other Ways Treat a Parasite
**Sensor Response - Active Ranging with Drilling BHA**

- Injection on target well while drilling supplies real time Azimuth to Target when taking surveys
- Consistency with models and actual data from active wireline tool
- Application would be well location during surface hole
- Potentially limits wireline ranging runs in shallow hole (down to 675 ft. in this example).
Ranging Data – Well 5

- Successfully milled into target well at 1132ft MD
- Low inclinations of ±1deg during follow phase – integrated gyro sensor used to derive tool orientation while taking active ranging data
- Successfully followed target well in close proximity while attempting to drill longer intervals
- Drop in signal and magnetic perturbation at 510-522ft MD indicates possible damage to casing
- Target well shift -0.24ft N, 0.2ft E
Milling Operations

- Intercept milling is eccentric – from open hole into the body of the casing for re-entry
- At shallow depts the “soft touch” can be physically identified
  - Hand, EDR, noise. Precise contact exact to a fraction of a foot routine
- Typically set a casing string in relief well prior to milling
- On 2 of the 2 attempts, successfully milled from open hole! – $$ from casing savings

“They said it couldn’t be done, but sometimes it doesn’t work out that way” - Stacey Stengel

1. Soft Touch with BHA
2. Mill from Open Hole
3. Reenter with Tubing
4. Set Cement
Re-Entering the Target

1 Hr. Milling

Milling cuttings from ditch magnet

6 Hrs. Milling

Milling cuttings from ditch magnet

Before

Concave Mill

After

Mill face showing 2-3/8” tubing wear pattern

1”/12min (1/4”/3min) to start the ledge, 1 foot per hour once ledge established

Aim for cuttings of 1/32” to 1/16” thick and 1” to 2” long
Bending first joint of tubing, can be oriented for re-entry through the window

Routinely run 1000’ of tubing past the window into the target
The Results/Conclusion

- Rig Schedule planned wells to take **70 days** to abandon 5 wells

- Actual time taken +/- **36 days**

- **NO SIDETRACKS**

- Significant savings in time and cost realized

- Other efficiencies gained through elimination of hole opening and running intermediate casing

- Industry best practices work! ISCWSA intercept best practices can be used as foundational document to train other personnel involved in similar operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well No.</th>
<th>Intercept Depth (ft.)</th>
<th>Ranging Runs</th>
<th>Days Planned</th>
<th>Days Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>70</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>