

Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Stay in Polygon: A Real-Time Lease Boundary Awareness Tool

Ali Karimi (Senior Analytics Engineer) Jonathan Lightfoot (Principal Drilling Engineering Consultant)





Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Agenda

Introduction and Objectives

Workflow

Lease Boundary and Coordinates Conversion

Distance to Lease Line (Shapely Library) and Distance to Plan

Validations and Examples

Alert Set Up

Summary, Conclusions, and Q&A





The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Introduction and Objectives

- Introduction:
 - Every year several occurrences of wellbores crossing lease boundaries are reported. This translates into potentially significant fines and possibly loss of production.
- **Objectives**: Build a data analytics dashboard tool that...
 - Determines if the survey point is inside or outside the lease boundaries
 - Determine the distance of each survey point to the nearest boundary
 - Can be used for the design purpose using the planned survey
 - Can provide alerts to the drilling team when a well gets close to the lease boundaries
 - Can handle exceptions and honor the rules used in every BU



Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Current Workflow





Wellbore Positioning Technical Section

The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Real-Time Surveys

- Real-Time surveys are obtained via making API calls to the third-party company.
- Surveys are received in JSON format.
- Surveys are processed with quality controls to flag exceptions such as invalid rows or numbers to be deleted or replaced.

Index	Azimuth	DLS	E-W	nclinatior	N-S	TVD	tical Sect	depth
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	28.8	0	28.8
2	342.26	0.26	-0.16	0.4	0.51	180.8	0.53	180.8
3	331.28	0.3	-0.41	0.59	1.06	251.8	1.14	251.8
4	333.06	0.19	-0.88	0.75	1.95	337.79	2.13	337.8
5	331.48	0.11	-1.45	0.84	3.03	425.78	3.34	425.8
6	326.84	0.08	-2.1	0.81	4.12	513.77	4.59	513.8
7	330.37	0.18	-2.79	0.96	5.27	600.76	5.9	600.8
8	324.93	0.12	-3.55	0.91	6.47	687.75	7.29	687.8
9	330.84	0.14	-4.26	0.83	7.6	775.74	8.59	775.8



Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Lease Boundaries

- Lease boundaries are defined as closed polygons of an arbitrary shape.
- 330X100 lines and well lines (50 L/R) are also recorded.
- Latitude and longitude for the surface locations are provided in NAD 27 while lease boundary coordinates are presented in the State Plane (SP) Coordinate Reference System (CRS).







The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Coordinates Conversions

- **pyproj** library in Python is used for coordinates transformation (conversion). However, EPSG code for each location is needed to implicitly define the CRS.
- **stateplane** library is used to obtain EPSG for each location.
- EPSG for NAD 27 is **4267**.
- Example: consider (long., lat.) = (-103.4, 31.7) stateplane.identify(-103.4, 31.7) - 100 = 32039 (EPSG for this location) nad27_state = pyproj.Transformer.from_crs(4267, 32039) east_spc, north_spc = nad27_state.transform(31.7, -103.4) (1,046,343.693, 752,659.949)





The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Shapley Library

- We need to know if a certain survey point is inside or outside of a certain lease boundary. Then, determine the distance to the **nearest** boundary.
- Shapely library in Python
- Example:

```
poly = Polygon([[0,0], [100, 0],[100, 100], [0,100],[0,0]])
```

p1 = Point([40, 20])	p2 = Point([120, 120])
p1.within(poly)	p2.within(poly)
True	False
poly.exterior.distance(p1)	poly.exterior.distance(p2)
20.0	28.284271247461902





Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Model Validation

• Publicly available tools such as google maps could be used for tool validation (130.9 ft vs. 131 ft).





Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Definitions (For Texas)

- Surface Hole Location (SHL) Surface Coordinated (Usually, NAD 27 for US Land).
- Penetration Point (PP) Location at which the upper formation is planned to be penetrated with respect to a given Field Rule.
- First Take Point (FTP) Upper location in the wellbore that can be legally perforated.
- Last Take Point (LTP) Lower location in the wellbore that can be legally perforated.
- End of Terminus (EOT) The location of the projected total depth of the well cannot exceed this point.







Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)



Points outside of the boundary are shown with negative values.



Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)



• Note that depending on the state and rules, SHL can be outside of the lease lines.



Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Distance to Plan - The Discrete Boundary Model (DBM)

International Journal of Graphics Vol. 1, No. 1, November, 2010

Study of Distance Computation between Objects Represented by Discrete Boundary Model

M. S. Uddin^{a*}, K. Yamazaki^b ^{a,*} School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia ^b Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California Davis, CA 95616, USA ^{a,*} <u>m.uddin@unsw.edu.au</u> (*corresponding author) <u>bkyamazaki@ucdavis.edu</u>





Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

DBM Implementation



- In this case, offset well is the actual survey vs. reference well (planned survey).
- Surface location uncertainty is ignored for simplicity.



Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)









Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Setting Up Alerts

					⊮~ :≡
send_alert	email_list	lease_alert_ft	dist_to_plan	Previous_Depth	Current_Depth
				22714.23	22714.23
				20580.00	22802.00
				0.00	15784.13
				11963.00	13237.00
				4230.63	6734.10
				22055.19	22055.19
				0.00	1484.00
				11130.73	11287.12
				9139.87	10752.34
				8770.60	9911.68
				1545.00	1885.00
				0.00	0.00
				20646.06	20646.06
				0.00	0.00
				1531.93	3392.49
YES	ali_karimi@oxy.com, jonathan_lightfoot@oxy.com	50.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
				437.90	437.90
				16484.79	18642.89
				10327.87	10327.87
				1515.99	1515.99
YES	ali_karimi@oxy.com,	50.00	80.00	14159.90	21564.84
NO	ali_karimi@oxy.com,	50.00	75.00	9736.00	10308.00
YES	ali_karimi@oxy.com, jonathan_lightfoot@oxy.com	75.00	60.00	0.00	19375.00
				3897.31	6569.71

Alarm Setup	
Well API10:	4231744214 🔻
Send Alert:	YES 🔹
Email:	ali_karimi@oxy.com,
Dist. To Lease Trigger:	50
Dist to Plan Trigger:	80
Submit	

• Currently, email alerts can be set up for each well according to the desired threshold.





The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Summary and Conclusions

- A real-time tool was developed to monitor distance to the lease boundaries and also planned well.
- Real-time directional surveys are provided via API calls.
- Shapley and pyproj python libraries are used.
- DBM model is implemented to obtain distance from the plan.
- Customized alerts could be set up.
- The tool was tested for several wells and could successfully provide warnings.
- Could significantly reduce lease violation incidents and associated cost.



Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

Thank you!

Stay in Polygon: A Real-Time Lease Boundary Awareness Tool

Ali Karimi: ali_Karimi@oxy.com

Jonathan Lightfoot: jonathan lightfoot@oxy.com

Acknowledgments

Jesus Martinez, James Rhoades, Lauren Nowell, Derek Adam, Craig D'Arcy, Diego Tellez, David Johnson, Keith Kyle, Samaa Saif Salim, and Robert Eglinton are acknowledged for their contribution, brainstorming, and assistance with developing the tool.



