New advances in geomagnetic field modeling

Patrick Alken, Arnaud Chulliat, Manoj Nair, Brian Meyer, Rick Saltus, Adam Woods, Nir Boneh University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO National Centers for Environmental Information, NOAA, Boulder, CO Benny Poedjono Schlumberger

Outline

- Introduction
- Disturbance field correction (magnetosphere)
- Disturbance field correction (ionosphere)
- EMAG2 crustal grid update

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





Introduction

Main field





Crustal field



Disturbance field







44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





Part 1: Disturbance field from the magnetosphere



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





3

Magnetospheric current systems



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





4

How to model the magnetosphere?

- Model the "average" behavior of the various magnetospheric sources, and then modulate this with real-time indices such as
 - Dst (derived from ground observatories)
 - Solar wind data (ACE/DISCOVR)
 - F10.7 (solar flux)
 - Modeling approach from Maus and Lühr, GJI, 162, 2005.

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





5

Solar forecasting: Migration from ACE to DSCOVR

- ACE (Advanced Composition Explorer) launched Aug 1997
- Quality of ACE data quickly degrading due to aging instruments and the space environment
- DSCOVR (Deep Space Climate Observatory) launched Feb 2015
- Purpose: provide early warning of geomagnetic storms here on Earth
- 15-60 minute warning time
- DSCOVR measures solar wind with a cadence 120 times faster than ACE



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





Migration from ACE to DSCOVR, cont'd



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



Real-time prediction of magnetospheric fields



Magnetic storm on 2015-03-17 (peak Dst -194 nT)



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





Part 2: Disturbance field from the ionosphere





~80 nT variations

100-200 nT variations

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



Modeling the Sq current system

Swarm mission allows measuring ionospheric currents simultaneously at different longitudes



This has resulted in a climatological model of the Sq currents, called DIFI



Chulliat et al, EPS, 2016

10

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





The DIFI model

- DIFI (Dedicated Ionospheric Field Inversion)
- Derived from over 2 years of Swarm A, B, C and ground observatory data
- Includes effects of induced currents in the Earth (30% of observed field at surface)
- Driven by solar flux intensity data

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



11

Honolulu observatory storm measurements



September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK

Wellbore Positioning Technical Section

The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

12

Observed magnetic field at drill bit



Image courtesy Schlumberger

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



13

MWD Calibration: Brazil



MWD with HDGM - Fixed reference

MWD with HDGM-RT+DIFI

- Variable reference
- Better fit

Data provided by Schlumberger

14

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





Part 3: EMAG2 crustal grid update



Magnetic Anomaly (nT)

https://ngdc.noaa.gov/geomag/emag2.html

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



15

Where does the data come from?

- Primary source of data comes from marine and airborne tracklines
 - Over 100 institutions
 - Over 50 years
 - 3255 surveys
 - 75.9 million data points
 - 10.5 million miles
- Precompiled grids over continental areas
 - Provided by Governments, Industry, and Academia



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



Send us your data!

- More data will enable a more detailed grid and more accurate crustal field models
- NOAA can offer long-term archival
- Data can be flagged as private/proprietary (not for public download); we currently archive proprietary data
- Even decimated / lower-resolution datasets would be useful
- Contact us at geomag.models@noaa.gov

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





17

What has changed?

- 657 individual surveys
- 50.6 million data points
- 2.5 million miles
- No more "model-driven" data
- Represents greater complexity in oceanic regions
- Grids produced at sea level and at 4km altitude



Version 3



Version 2

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



18

Summary

Magnetospheric disturbance field modeling

- Real-time modeling capability
- · Driven by USGS operational Dst index and DSCOVR satellite solar wind data
- Available to public at geomag.colorado.edu
- Manual and programmatic access

Ionospheric disturbance field modeling

- Developing real-time modeling of Sq currents
- Transitioning from research to operations

• EMAG2_V3 crustal grid update

- Added 50 million new data measurements into a new crustal grid compilation
- Will enable next generation, higher resolution crustal field models
- http://maps.ngdc.noaa.gov/viewers/geophysics
- Please send us data!

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

19

Introduction

- Geomagnetic main field
 - · Generated by convection in Earth's core
 - 95% of observed strength at Earth's surface
 - Slow changes due to secular variation
 - · Accurate models with data from observatories and satellites
- External (disturbance) field
 - Generated from electric currents in Earth's magnetosphere and ionosphere
 - Significant effects near Earth's surface at all latitudes
 - Can change rapidly during storm conditions
 - · Data from satellites and observatories
- Crustal field
 - Generated from magnetized rocks in Earth's crust
 - Significant localized effects near Earth's surface
 - · Data from satellites, marine and aeromagnetic surveys





The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore

Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK

Processing trackline data

- Tiles created to be relatively homogeneous data density
- Remove first order trend from tracklines
- Add first order trend from MF7
- Remove data collected during storms, and spikes
- · Incorporate data into final grid
- Much of the data processing is automated, allowing for easy addition of new datasets



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





Processing precompiled grid data

- Continental Tiles assigned 1-38
- Marine Tiles assigned 101-121
- Highest energy grids given priority
- Fill grid space for effective fourier filtering
- Remove long wavelength component
- Use satellite model MF7 for latest long wavelength observation



44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



EMAG2_V3 Highlights



Japan and NW Pacific



Shackleton Fracture Zone and Scotia Plate



Alaska and NE Pacific



Chicxulub

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK Wellbore Positioning Technical Section



Magnetospheric model: Real-time Maps

Calculator will show real-time maps of the declination, dip and total field



Total field at the Earth surface for a magnetic storm in October 2003

44th General Meeting September 22nd, 2016 Glasgow, Scotland, UK





24