The Industry Steering Committee on Wellbore Survey Accuracy (ISCWSA)

## ISN'T GRAVITY A CONSTANT?

Wellbore Positioning
Technical Section

## Speaker Information

- Robert Wylie
- Product Line Director, Drilling Applications
- National Oilwell Varco
- March 4th, 2016


## Summary

- Gravitational attraction
- Gravity strength around the world
$\qquad$
- How we measure gravity
$\qquad$
- Why TGF QC is important

- Effect of movement error
- Proposed Solution
- Calibrate to standard gee
- Survey companies who do this already
- Reminder to ensure that tools in transition are identified


## Newton - which way is down?
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## We measure acceleration not "g"

## UNIVERSAL ACCELERATION



The equivalence principle.

## Flat Earth Society - constant " g "



## UNIVERSAL ACCELERATION



The equivalence principle.
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## Modern view of Flat Earth from Space



## United Nations supports this view

 ndex.php?curid=5469541

## Round Earth theory
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## Newton - gravitational attraction
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## Non-gravitational attraction
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## Earth from Space
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## But then there's centrifugal force



## Gravitational Variations
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## Gravitational Variations



## Gravitational Variations

|  | Std <br> Gravity | GARM* $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Earth Mass | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Earth rotation |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Earth shape |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Depth (TVD) |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Topography |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Anomalies |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Water/Rocks |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Error (1 sigma) | $\sim 1.6 \mathrm{mG}$ | $\sim 0.3 \mathrm{mG}$ |


*Global Acceleration Reference Model (MagVAR/SLB)
http://www.gfz-potsdam.de
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## Gravitational Waves



## Newton - gravitational attraction
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## " g " calculation at Equator

$g=G \frac{m_{1}}{r^{2}}=\left(6.67384 \times 10^{-11}\right) \frac{5.9722 \times 10^{24}}{\left(6.371 \times 10^{6}\right)^{2}}=9.8196 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}^{-2}$
$\mathrm{m}_{1}=$ mass of Earth (kg)
$r=$ radius of Earth at equator ( m )
$\mathrm{G}=$ Gravitational Constant
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravity_of_Earth
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## General local "g" calculation

## $g_{0}=9.780327\left(1+0.0053024 \sin ^{2} \theta-0.0000058 \sin ^{2} 2 \theta\right)-0.000003086 h$

$\Theta=$ latitude
$\mathrm{h}=$ altitude ( m )
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravity_of_Earth

## Local calculations

|  | Equator | Andoversford | New Waverly (Texas) | Calgary | E of Shetland | Prudhoe Bay | Cusco, Peru |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Latitude (degrees) | 0 | 51.86 | 30.5392 | 51.0486 | 60.35 | 70.3265 | -13.525 |
| sin sqrd (phi) | 0 | 0.999437409 | 0.59082138 | 0.497719 | 0.37566002 | 0.876341216 | 0.669786293 |
| sin sqrd ( $\mathbf{2}^{*}$ phi) | 0 | 002249096 | 0.967005908 | 0.999979 | 0.938158277 | 0.43346915 | 0.884690459 |
| Altitude (meters) | 0 | 200 | 107.9 | 1200 | 0 | 10 | 3399 |
| local "g" | 9.78033 | 9.82599 | 9.80758 | 9.76905 | 9.79976 | 9.82544 | 9.71012 |
| Relative to Andoversford | 0.9954 | 1.0000 | 0.9981 | 0.9942 | 0.9973 | 0.9999 | 0.9882 |
| Relative to Equator | 1.0000 | 1.0047 | 1.0028 | 0.9988 | 1.0020 | 1.0046 | 0.9928 |
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## Inclination calculation

$$
\text { Inclination }=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{g_{z}}{g_{\text {total }}}\right)
$$

Where,
$g_{z} \quad=$ the acceleration measured along the tool (borehole) axis
$g_{\text {total }} \quad=$ the total gravitational field
Inclination = the angle from the tool axis to vertical
If $\mathrm{g}_{\text {total }}$ is calculated from the three orthogonal accelerometer measurements,
where $\mathrm{g}_{\text {total }}=\left(\sqrt{g_{x}{ }^{2}+g_{y}{ }^{2}+g_{z}{ }^{2}}\right)$,
then Inclination is Scale Factor independent.
But, if one axis has an error due to tool acceleration during measurement for example, then that error will show up in Inclination, and also in Azimuth. This error may not be noticed during QA/QC unless $g_{\text {total }}$ can be compared to the expected local value.

## Calibration

Purpose: To reduce errors in accuracy through one or more of the following

- Primary Standard
- Secondary Standard, with a higher accuracy than the instrument
- Known input source

Directional instruments calibrated against a known input source
Earth's gravity field
Earth's magnetic field
The method of calibration used is a system minimising errors to achieve optimum performance.

## Relevant Error Sources

- Noise and drift Electronics (Scalar)
- Scale Factor (Gain) Magnitude (Scalar)
- Datum (Offset) Magnitude (Scalar)
- Temperature coefficients Magnitude (Scalar)
- Axis (misalignment) Positional (Vector)


## Error model assumptions - 2.5mg error

error in Gx at Inc $=45 \mathrm{deg} \& \mathrm{Az}=90 \mathrm{deg}$

error in Gz at $\mathrm{Inc}=45 \mathrm{deg} \& \mathrm{Az}=90 \mathrm{deg}$

error in Gx at $\operatorname{Inc}=45 \mathrm{deg} \& A z=0 \mathrm{deg}$

error in Gz at $\operatorname{lnc}=45 \mathrm{deg} \& A z=0 \mathrm{deg}$
0.0000000


## Error model assumptions

- +/- 2.5 mg ?


## Effect of incorrect Scale Factor?

- Reduces ability to detect tool movement during survey through QA/QC
- Leading to inaccurate inclination and hence azimuth
- Multi Station Analysis of accelerometer values?
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ party reviews of raw data?
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