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## Why Exclusion Zones?

## Problem With Drilling East/West

- Axial Magnetic Interference (AMI) is dominant error source (AZ)
- $50 \%$ more error than Declination


## Problems With the Corrections

- Multiple solutions
- Degraded accuracy


## Available Corrections

- Single Station Correction (SSC)
- Multi-Station Analysis (MSA)


## Exclusion Zones for Horizontal Wells

Existing Standards (SPE 125677):
BGGM Exclusion Zone

- BGGM
- $\sin (I n c)^{*} \sin (A z)<0.82$
- $\pm 35^{\circ}$ from East/West
- IFR1
- $\sin (\operatorname{lnc})^{*} \sin (A z)<0.91$
- $\pm 25^{\circ}$ from East/West



## Multiple Solutions: SSC

Single Station Correction

- $B_{x}$ and $B_{y}$ are measured

Measured Value of $\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$


## Multiple Solutions: SSC

Single Station Correction

- $B_{x}$ and $B_{y}$ are measured
- $B_{x}$ and $B_{y}$ are modeled as a function of Az using:
- Reference Bt
- Reference Dip
- Measured Inc
- Measured TF
$\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$ as a Function of $A z$



## Multiple Solutions: SSC

Single Station Correction

- $B_{x}$ and $B_{y}$ are measured
- $B_{x}$ and $B_{y}$ are modeled as a function of $A z$
- Minimum distance between model and measurement is found
$\left(B_{x}, B_{y}\right)$ as a Function of $A z$



## Multiple Solutions: SSC

Single Station Correction

- $B_{x}$ and $B_{y}$ are measured
- $B_{x}$ and $B_{y}$ are modeled as a function of $A z$
- Minimum distance between model and measurement is found

Distance from Meas. to Model


## Multiple Solutions: SSC

## What to Do?

- Consider uncertainty on
- Reference Bt
- Reference Dip
- Measured Inc
- Measured TF

Uncertainty


## Multiple Solutions: SSC

## What to Do?

- Consider uncertainty
- Map into $X^{2}$ test
- Reject minima w/ a probability of occurrence of < 0.1\%
- If multiple minima remain, cannot trust solution


## Distance as $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ Statistic



## Multiple Solutions: SSC

Alternate Example

- Only 1 probabilistically plausible solution
- Ok to move forward with valid solution


## Multiple Solutions: MSA

## Similar to SSC

- Multiple solutions can exist
- Not true that MSA can automatically replace SSC in an exclusion zone
- Variation in wellbore direction can resolve
- Required amount of variation is situationdependent


## Degraded Accuracy: SSC

Correction Not as Accurate as Standard MWD IPM near East/West

- Specific IPM derived to model accuracy of correction ('+AX')
- Accounts for effects of magnetic reference field errors


## Degraded Accuracy: SSC

## MWD+AX IPM

| No | Code | Term Description | Wt.Fn. | Wt.Fn. Source | Type | Magnitude | Units | Prop. | P1 | P2 | P3 | Wt.Fn. Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | DECG | MWD: Declination - Global | AZ | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 0.36 | deg | G | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 17 | DECR | MWD: Declination - Random | AZ | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 0.1 | deg | R | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 18 | DBHG | MWD: BH-Dependent Declination - Global | DBH | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 5000 | deg.nT | G | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 19 | DBHR | MWD: BH-Dependent Declination - Random | DBH | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 3000 | deg.nT | R | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 20 | MDIG | MWD: Magnetic Dip with Z-Axis Corr - Global | MDI | SPE 67616 Table 1 | Mgntcs | 0.2 | deg | G | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 21 | MDIR | MWD: Magnetic Dip with Z-Axis Corr - Random | MDI | SPE 67616 Table 1 | Mgntcs | 0.08 | deg | R | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 22 | MFIG | MWD: Total Magnetic Field with Z-Axis Corr - Global | MFI | SPE 67616 Table 1 | Mgntcs | 130 | nT | G | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 23 | MFIR | MWD: Total Magnetic Field with Z-Axis Corr - Random | MFI | SPE 67616 Table 1 | Mgntcs | 60 | nT | R | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24 | SAG | MWD: Sag | SAG | SPE 67616 | Align | 0.2 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 25 | XYM1 | Misalignment: XY Misalignment 1 | XYM1 | SPE 90408 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 26 | XYM2 | Misalignment: XY Misalignment 2 | XYM2 | SPE 90408 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 27 | XYM3 | Misalignment: XY Misalignment 3 | XYM3 | SPE 90408 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | Singularity when vertical |
| 28 | XYM4 | Misalignment: XY Misalignment 4 | XYM4 | SPE 90408 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | Singularity when vertical |
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## Degraded Accuracy: MSA

More Complicated Version of SSC

- 100+ different possible parameter combinations
- Each solution will have a different accuracy
- Would require 100+ different IPM's to model
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## Degraded Accuracy: MSA

## MWD+IFR1+MS IPM

| No | Code | Term Description | Wt.Fn. | Wt.Fn. Source | Type | Magnitude | Units | Prop. | P1 | P2 | P3 | Wt.Fn. Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | MSZ | MWD: Z-Magnetometer Scale Factor | MSZ | SPE 67616 Table 1 | Sensor | 0.0008 | - | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 18 | DECG | MWD: Declination - Global | AZ | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 0.15 | deg | G | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 19 | DECR | MWD: Declination - Random | AZ | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 0.1 | deg | R | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 20 | DBHG | MWD: BH-Dependent Declination - Global | DBH | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 1500 | deg.nT | G | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 21 | DBHR | MWD: BH-Dependent Declination - Random | DBH | SPE 67616 | Azi Ref | 3000 | deg.nT | R | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 22 | AMIL | MWD: Axial Interference - ${ }^{\text {mima }}$ | MIL | Hallj | Mgntcs | $100$ | nT | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 23 | SAG | MWD: Sag | AG | SPE 6761 | Align | 0.2 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24 | XYM1 | Misalignment: XY Misalignm nt 1 | XYM1 | SPE 90^08 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 25 | XYM2 | Misalignment: XY Misalignment 2 | XYM2 | SPE 90408 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 26 | XYM3 | Misalignment: XY Misalignment 3 | XYM3 | SPE 90408 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | Singularity when vertical |
| 27 | XYM4 | Misalignment: XY Misalignment 4 | XYM4 | SPE 90408 Table 9 - Alt. 3 | Align | 0.1 | deg | S | 1 | 0 | 0 | Singularity when vertical |
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## Degraded Accuracy: MSA

## What Can We Do?

- '+MS' error model does not model the accuracy of MSA corrections
- No published requirements exist to check for valid use
- Best option is to calculate accuracy directly for chosen solution

Solution EOU vs. ‘+MS’ EOU


## Drilling Safely East/West

If AMI corrections are required:

- Check for multiple solutions
- Ensure IPM assigned to corrected surveys does not overstate accuracy

MSA Exclusion Zone for Horizontal Wellbores: $\pm 15^{\circ}$


## Eliminating the Exclusion Zone

Including Part of the Build in the Lateral:

- Start lateral at 80º Inclination
- Exclusion Zone is $\pm 5^{\circ}$
- Start lateral at $70^{\circ}$ Inclination
- Exclusion Zone is eliminated


## Conclusion

- Axial Magnetic Interference (AMI) maps into large Azimuth errors when drilling East/West
- SSC \& MSA have problems
- Multiple solutions
- Degraded accuracy
- Can reduce $\pm 35^{\circ}$ exclusion zone by
- Checking probabilistic plausibility of extra solutions
- Validating target IPM against calculated accuracy of corrections (MSA)

